

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/608,761	06/30/2000	Klaus Binder	705649 US1 ML	2512	
23911 7590 08/21/2003			700		
CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300			EXAMINER		
			SODERQUIST, ARLEN		
WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
* .			1743		
			DATE MAILED: 08/21/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	'				(A			
	<u> </u>	Application N		Applicant(s)	ψc			
		09/608,761		BINDER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	-	Art Unit				
		Arlen Soderquis		1743				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apr r Reply	pears on the cove	r sheet with the d	correspondence address	;			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replay period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, how ply within the statutory mi d will apply and will expire te, cause the application	vever, may a reply be tin nimum of thirty (30) day o SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this commun ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ilcation.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	June 2003 .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T	his action is non-	final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	vance except for f r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>	ormal matters, p , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the me 453 O.G. 213.	erits is			
-	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.							
-, (4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-17,19,20,22 and 23 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	Claim(s) <u>1-14,18 and 21</u> is/are rejected.							
_	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	/						
8)∐ Applicat	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ ion Papers	or election require	ement.					
• •	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.						
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		ted to by the Exa	aminer.				
.9,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on							
•—	If approved, corrected drawings are required in r							
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 3	35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been rec	eived.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* ;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International E See the attached detailed Office action for a list	Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		je			
14) 🔲 🗸	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119((e) (to a provisional app	lication).			
	a)							
Attachme	-	-						
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) [5) [6) [ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152				

Application/Control Number: 09/608,761

Art Unit: 1743

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 2. Claims 1-14, 18 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haas (US 5,143,696) or Schmelz in view of Daudel, Kurzweil, D'Amico and Tsutsumi.

In the patent Haas teaches a sensor for selective determination of gases which includes an electric capacitor having a gas permeable zeolite layer between 2 and 500 micrometer thick and being composed of a dielectric crystalline structure with a crystal size from 0.1 micrometer to 80 micrometer and having primary pores resulting in an internal surface from 100 to 1500 m²/g, the diameter of the pores being between 0.1 and 1.5 nm which corresponds at least in order to magnitude to the kinetic diameter of the molecules of the gas to be detected, so that these molecules penetrate deep into the layer and its pores thereby changing the dielectric constant of the layer. The background discusses how such sensors are used in automobile systems. Column 4 lines 38-48 teach a number of gases including ammonia which can be sensed in this manner. Haas does not teach the scope of materials or detection methods.

In the patent Schmelz teaches a sensor for determining the gradient of ammonia concentration in waste gases. The concentration of NH₃ is determined on the basis of conductivity in flue gas and exhaust gas streams to be contacted with catalysts, using sensors from TiO_2 and ≥ 1 of WO₃, MoO₃, V₂O₅, and V_xMo_yO_{32-z} where x+y 12, x,y 1 and z 1. Column 4 lines 25-42 teach that this is either the catalyst material or has the same properties as the catalyst material. Individual pairs of contacts are connected to supply leads and are disposed in

Application/Control Number: 09/608,761

Art Unit: 1743

the sensor material and optionally on the surface of the sensor material, for determining electrical conductivity of the sensor material between the contacts of the individual pairs of contacts. The background section discusses this in conjunction with using SCR catalysts. Figures 6-8 show a second embodiment for use in a vehicle and column 8, lines 5-13 teaches a sensor that can be screwed into a deNO_x (SCR) catalyst. Schmelz does not teach the scope of materials or detection methods.

In the patent Daudel teaches an exhaust gas aftertreatment device for internal combustion engines having a catalyzer for the selective catalytic reduction of oxides of nitrogen from exhaust gases of motor vehicle diesel engines, provides overstoichiometric supply of NH₃ or materials releasing NH₃. A first sensor records the NH₃ concentration contained in the exhaust gas and interrupts the supply of the NH₃ quantity when a specified upper threshold value is reached. A second sensor records the NH₃ adsorbed in the catalyzer, by way of which the NH₃ supply is resumed on reaching a specified lower threshold value. Alternatively, only one NH₃ sensor is provided in the exhaust gas aftertreatment device. The NH₃ concentration determined by this single sensor is compared, as the actual value, with a required value corresponding to a specified NH₃ concentration in order to form a correction signal which is used for triggering the metering appliance continuously connected into the gas phase.

In the abstract and paper Kurzweil teaches impedance of zeolite-based gas sensors. Changes in conductivity and capacitance of NaY- and NaPtY-zeolites allow concentrations of butane, ammonia and other gases to be determined by zeolite interdigital sensors. By impedance spectroscopy, hydrocarbon conversion can be separated from the effect of water, which appears in a different frequency range. NaY-zeolites show a moderate conductivity, which is due to the mobility of sodium and is influenced by the presence of gases adsorbed at the pore surfaces.

In the abstract and paper D'Amico describes an ammonia surface acoustic wave (SAW) gas detector. The device consists of a SAW delay line fabricated on a STX-SiO₂ substrate, whose propagation path is coated with a selectively sorbent Pt film. Absorption and desorption of ammonia in the film, produce a change in the mass density and in the elastic properties of the film which, in turn, cause a change in the SAW phase velocity. The change in velocity causes a shift in the phase at the output of the line which can be detected as a frequency shift when the line is configured in a SAW oscillator. The response of the device was investigated vs. both

Application/Control Number: 09/608,761

Art Unit: 1743

ammonia gas concentration in N and temperature for different values of the film thickness. Finally the use of differential structures, to reduce the device sensitivity to temperature fluctuations was investigated and results discussed.

In the paper Tsutsumi teaches the direct measurement of interaction energy between solids and gases. The differential heat of adsorption of NH₃ on synthetic zeolites was calorimetrically measured through a thermoelectromotive force in the thermoelement (page 3576) and the distribution of the surface acidity was discussed in relation to the catalytic activity for cumene-cracking as a function of zeolite compositions. A newly designed apparatus, a twinconduction-type calorimeter equipped with a semiconductor thermoelement and an adsorption apparatus, was used for the direct measurement of the differential heat of adsorption. The differential heat of adsorption of NH₃ decreased with the increase in surface coverage, the acid site on the surface becoming stronger up to 27 kcal/mole for NH₃-adsorption when the ratio of silica to alumina was higher and the content of exchanged ammonium ions was higher. The cumene-cracking reaction was effectively catalyzed by zeolites having such sites with heat of adsorption of NH₃ exceeding 25 kcal/mole.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the detection methods of Kurzweil, D'Amico and Tsutsumi into the methods taught by Haas or Schmelz because of their known ability to sense ammonia in the same types of environments taught by Haas and Schmelz. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use multiple sensors as taught by Daudel or Schmelz because of the ability to monitor the process in many places and gain a better control of the processes as shown for the process of Daudel.

3. Applicant's arguments filed June 13, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. First applicant is directed to the independent claims. When one looks at the indep0endent claims, it is clear there is no requirement that the measuring pickup be applied to the surface of the catalyst as applicant appears to be arguing, but can be applied to an interior section of the catalyst. Additionally the electrodes in both primary references are applied to a surface of the catalyst in at least one disclosed embodiment. Additionally there is no structure relative to the shape of the catalyst or how it is disposed to a gas in the independent claims. In fact claim 1 does not even require the catalyst to be in contact with an exhaust gas. Thus if

Application/Control Number: 09/608,761 Page 5

Art Unit: 1743

applicant is attempting to place some meaning on the phrase "SCR catalyst" there is little basis in the claims for doing so since the environment is at best minimally defined. Applicant is reminded that a catalyst is still a catalyst whether it is being tested or in actual use. In this way the disclosure of the primary references are teaching SCR catalysts and there is no distinction which can be made with respect to a method of testing materials and actually using the materials. For these reasons the claimed invention is obvious in view of the respective reference combinations.

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arlen Soderquist whose telephone number is (703) 308-3989. The examiner's schedule is variable between the hours of about 5:30 AM to about 5:00 PM on Monday through Thursday and alternate Fridays.

For communication by fax to the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned, (703) 305-7719 may be used for official, unofficial or draft papers. When using this number a call to alert the examiner would be appreciated. Numbers for faxing official papers are 703-872-9310 (before finals), 703-872-9311 (after-final), 703-305-7718, 703-305-5408 and 703-305-5433. The above fax numbers will generally allow the papers to be forwarded to the examiner in a timely manner.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Men Sodergust August 20, 2003

ARLEN SODERQUIST PRIMARY EXAMINER